

**Water Quality Forum**  
**Colorado Department of Health and Environment**  
**4300 Cherry Creek Drive South – Sabin Room**  
**September 18, 2017**

CALL IN NUMBER: 1-857-216-6700

CONFERENCE CODE: 425132

ADOBE CONNECT NET MEETING: <https://cdphe.adobeconnect.com/r3vcol4drn2/>

**Proposed Agenda**

- 12:30 p.m. Agenda Review, Introductions
- 12:40 p.m. Legislative Update (Jeannette Hillery/Lisa Hollander)
- 12:50 p.m. Regular Updates
  - WQCC Update (Trisha Oeth)
  - Division Update (Pat Pfaltzgraff)
  - Clean Water Update (Nicole Rowan)
  - Drinking Water Update (Ron Falco)
  - Website Update (Samantha August)
- 1:30 p.m. June Rulemaking Hearing Policy Implications (including temperature)
  - Aimee Konowal and Blake Beyea (CWQD)
- 2:00 p.m. Break
- 2:10 p.m. Quagga and Zebra Mussel: Potential Impacts
  - Mary Fabisiak (City of Westminster)
- 2:50 p.m. Work Plan (Shelly Stanley)
- 3:10 p.m. Working Group Updates
- 3:30 p.m. Wrap Up
  - Check in on any “hot” issues
  - Next Steps
- 4:00 p.m. Adjourn

## Colorado Water Quality Forum

### Mission:

To achieve solutions to Colorado water quality issues through communication and understanding, balancing use and protection of the resource

### Ground Rules

The following “ground rules”, based on those utilized over the years at Water Quality Forum meetings, are recommended for work group meetings:

Come to each meeting prepared for the scheduled discussion, e.g. having reviewed any relevant draft documents that have been circulated prior to the meeting.

Speak up so that everyone can hear. Only one person should speak at a time.

Be concise in asking questions and/or stating your perspective on an issue.

Avoid personal attacks. Be respectful of others’ perspectives and responsibilities.

Sort for similarities that may exist in spite of differences. Listen carefully to the perspectives/proposals raised by others and respond in a manner that moves the discussion forward.

Clearly identify remaining differences that are not resolved by work group discussions.

Avoid sneak attacks. Respect the process by letting others know of positions and actions that will be taken regarding the issues being discussed.

Decision making method: Consensus (everyone understands and can live with the decision), Fall back method: Minority report

Avoid end runs. Respect the process by letting others know if differences remain that will be pursued in other venues (e.g. directly with other members in the executive and/or legislative branches of state or federal government)

Identify conversations that aren’t working. Bring this to the attention of others.

Backtrack from poor results. Step out of the content, observe the process and fix it. Reestablish mutual respect and mutual purpose before bringing the conversation forward.